

Unified Long-Term Care Budget
Quality Management/Assurance
Charter and Recommendations
DRAFT – FEBRUARY 8, 2008

- **To explore the degree to which quality assurance systems can be integrated in a transformed long-term services and supports system and to recommend necessary improvements to the current system.**

Recommendations – Consumer Satisfaction:

- ◇ Apply consumer satisfaction across all long-term services and supports, using core questions and adding setting-specific questions.
- ◇ Develop a unified method of data collection related to satisfaction.
- ◇ Satisfaction should be measured by a third party (i.e., not the provider of service).
- ◇ In areas where satisfaction is not currently available as a measure of quality it should be developed.
- ◇ Satisfaction with consumer-directed services utilizing independent/individual providers should be available in aggregate form.
- ◇ Include all levels of service (e.g., home repair, homemaker, transportation in addition to nursing and personal care).

Recommendation – Outcome Measures:

- ◇ Guiding principle – Do not add new levels of measurement where they currently exist.
- ◇ Identify outcome measures that could be used across settings with specific application to the provider type and in consideration of other factors such as consumer age groups.

Recommendation – Financial Incentive:

- ◇ Build incentives into public payment systems for all provider types, including quality and other measures such as serving hard-to-serve areas.

Recommendation – Regulation:

- ◇ Regulatory data, where available, should be part of quality measurement.
- ◇ Identify provider types that are not regulated and explore whether licensing would be an appropriate means of developing quality standards.

- **To explore ways the CMS Quality Framework can be adapted for facility-based care providers as well as home-based providers.**

Recommendation – Quality Framework:

- ◇ Use the Quality Framework across all long-term care settings, acknowledging that it might not be possible to apply some parts of the matrix to individual independent providers but in those cases apply the Quality Framework to the system of independent providers.
 - Utilize the Long-Term Care Consumer Guide to provide consumers with information about an expanded array of provider types and develop methods of increasing public awareness of the availability of information.
 - ◇ Explore the feasibility of creating a simple registry to identify providers not otherwise included Providers that are not paid or regulated by a government entity should be added to a registry.
 - Allow a “cap” on the number of certified providers, recognizing that in areas where there are large numbers of providers the administrative cost and burden of certification outweighs the benefit of having a large group of providers from which consumers may choose.
- ◇ Explore the use of technology for public awareness, education, and feedback (e.g., Web logs, bulletin boards)
- ◇ Develop a database and IT system that can extract data from current systems.
- ◇ Develop a certification process that would apply to all systems (i.e., a PASSPORT-certified home care agency should also be able to operate in the MRDD system without going through a separate certification process).